arXiv:1411.5967v1 [math.AG] 21 Nov 2014

WEIERSTRASS SEMIGROUP AND AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF THE CURVES $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$

H. BORGES, A. SEPÚLVEDA, AND G. TIZZIOTTI

Abstract In this paper, we determine the Weierstrass semigroup $H(P_\infty)$ and the full automorphism group of a certain family of curves $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$, which was recently introduced by Borges and Conceição.

1. Introduction

Since the algebraic geometric (AG) codes were introduced by V.D. Goppa ([5], [6]), the study of several topics in the theory of algebraic curves has been intensified. A particular case is the investigation of Weierstrass semigroups $H(P)$ at points P of an algebraic curve. It is well known that the structure of $H(P)$ provides both local and global information regarding this curve. The knowledge of such structure can prove quite useful, for instance, in improving the lower bound on the mimimum distance of AG codes arising from the curve (see [2], [4], [7], [14]).

The group of automorphisms of a curve is another topic that plays a prominent role in several aspects of the theory. To be concise, it should be noted that many curves have a characterization based on the structure and the action of their automorphism groups. It is worth mentioning that AG codes can also be studied in connection with these groups (see [15],[16]). Applications of the automorphism groups in the systematics of encoding and decoding can be found in [10] and [13], respectively.

In [1], Borges and Conceição used minimal value set polynomials to introduce a large family of curves that generalize the Hermitian curve. An example is the following curve over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$:

$$\mathcal{H}: \quad \mathrm{T_n}(y) = \mathrm{T_n}(x^{q^r+1}) \qquad (\mathrm{mod}\ x^{q^n} - x)$$

where, for a symbol z,

$$\mathrm{T_n}(z) := z^{q^{n-1}} + z^{q^{n-2}} + \ldots + z\,,$$

and $r = r(n) \geq n/2$ is the smallest positive integer such that $\gcd(n, r) = 1$, i.e.,

$$r = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad n = 2 \\ n/2+1, & \text{if} \quad n \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \\ n/2+2, & \text{if} \quad n \geq 6,\ n \equiv 2 \pmod 4 \\ (n+1)/2, & \text{if} \quad n \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Borges and Conceição have shown that the curve $\mathcal{H}$ has a somewhat large ratio

$$\#\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})/g(\mathcal{H}),$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ is the set of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$-rationals points, and $g(\mathcal{H})$ is the genus of $\mathcal{H}$. They also computed the Weierstrass semigroup $H(P_\infty)$, where $P_\infty = (0:1:0)$ is the only singular point on the projective closure of $\mathcal{H}$. In particular, is was proved that $\mathcal{H}$ is an example of a so-called Castle curve, which are special types of curves potentially suitable for construction of AG codes with good parameters (see [12]).

In this paper, we further investigate the family of curves introduced in [1]. More precisely, within this family, we consider a set of curves $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$ (which includes the curve $\mathcal{H}$) and determine the Weierstrass semigroup $H(P_\infty)$ as well as the curves' full group of automorphisms. In particular, we arrive at a larger set of Castle curves.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some basics concepts related to Weierstrass semigroups and automorphism groups of curves are recalled, and some properties of the curves $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$ are presented. In the Section 3, we determine the Weierstrass semigroup $H(P_\infty)$ and prove that $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$ are Castle curves, while providing an alternative, somewhat simpler, plane model for the curves $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$. Finally, in Section 4, the full automorphism group of $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$ is obtained.

2. Preliminaries

Hereafter we use the following notation:

- $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the finite field with q elements.
- $\mathcal{X}$ is a projective, non-singular, geometrically irreducible algebraic curve of genus $g \geq 2$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$.
- $\mathbb{F}_q(\mathcal{X})$ denotes the field of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational functions on $\mathcal{X}$.
- For a point $P \in \mathcal{X}$, the discrete valuation at P is denoted by v_P.
- For $f \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathcal{X})\backslash\{0\}$, the pole divisor of f is denoted by $div_\infty(f)$.
- $\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denotes the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of $\mathcal{X}$.

- $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ denotes the semigroup of non-negative integers.

2.1. **Weierstrass Semigroup.** Here we present the relevant data on Weierstrass semigroups that will be used in Section 3. For a more detailed discussion on the basics of this topic, see [11, chapter 6].

For a rational point $P \in \mathcal{X}$, the *Weierstrass semigroup* of $\mathcal{X}$ at P is defined by

$$H(P) := \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \exists f \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathcal{X}) \text{ with } div_\infty(f) = nP\},$$

and the set $G(P) = \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus H(P)$ is called *Weierstrass gap set* of P. From the Weierstrass Gap Theorem, $G(P) = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_g\}$ and

$$1 = \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_g \leq 2g - 1.$$

The semigroup $H(P)$ is called symmetric if $\alpha_g = 2g - 1$. The curve $\mathcal{X}$ is called *Castle curve* if $H(P) = \{0 = m_1 < m_2 < \cdots\}$ is symmetric and $\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q) = m_2 q + 1$. For more details about Castle curves and their applications in coding theory, see [12].

Definition 2.1. *Let $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ be a sequence of positive integers whose greatest common divisor is 1. Set $d_0 = 0$, and define $d_i := gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_i)$ and $A_i := \{\frac{a_1}{d_i}, \ldots, \frac{a_i}{d_i}\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. If $\frac{a_i}{d_i}$ lies in the semigroup generated by A_i for $i = 2, \ldots, m$, then the sequence $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is called telescopic. A semigroup is called telescopic if it is generated by a telescopic sequence.*

For a semigroup S, the number of gaps and the largest gap of S will be denoted by $g(S)$ and $l_g(S)$, respectively. The following result will be a significant factor in determining the semigroup $H(P_\infty)$ of the curves $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$.

Lemma 2.2 ([7], Lemma 6.5)**.** *If S_m is the semigroup generated by a telescopic sequence $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$, then*

- $l_g(S_m) = d_{m-1} l_g(S_{m-1}) + (d_{m-1} - 1)a_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\frac{d_{i-1}}{d_i} - 1)a_i$
- $g(S_m) = d_{m-1} g(S_{m-1}) + (d_{m-1} - 1)(a_m - 1)/2 = (l_g(S_m) + 1)/2,$

where $d_0 = 0$. In particular, telescopic semigroups are symmetric.

2.2. **Automorphism Group.** This subsection briefly recalls few facts related to automorphism groups of algebraic curves over finite fields. For a more detailed discussion on this subject, see [8], [9], [11, chapter 11].

Let $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ be the automorphism group of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathbb{G} \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ be a finite subgroup. For a rational point $P \in \mathcal{X}$, the stabilizer of P in $\mathbb{G}$, denoted by $\mathbb{G}_P$, is the subgroup of $\mathbb{G}$ consisting of all elements fixing P. For a non-negative integer i, the i-th ramification group of $\mathcal{X}$ at P is denoted by $\mathbb{G}_P^{(i)}$ and defined by

$$\mathbb{G}_P^{(i)} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{G}_P : \ v_P(\alpha(t) - t) \geq i + 1\} ,$$

where t is a local parameter at P. Here $\mathbb{G}_P^{(0)} = \mathbb{G}_P$ and $\mathbb{G}_P^{(1)}$ is the unique Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_P$. Moreover, $\mathbb{G}_P^{(1)}$ has a cyclic complement H in $\mathbb{G}_P$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{G}_P = \mathbb{G}_P^{(1)} \rtimes H \tag{2}$$

where H is a cyclic group of order coprime to p.

The following result will be essential to determine the full group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r})$ of automorphisms of the curves $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$.

Theorem 2.3. ([11], Theorem 11.140) *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, where q is a prime power, and let $\mathbb{G}$ be an automorphism group of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{X}$ has a $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational point P satisfying the condition $|\mathbb{G}_P^{(1)}| > 2g + 1$. Then one of the following cases occurs:*

1) $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_P$.
2) *$\mathcal{X}$ is birationally equivalent to one of the following curves:*
   (i) *the Hermitian curve $\mathbf{v}(Y^n + Y - X^{n+1})$ with $n = q^t \geq 2$ and $g = \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - n)$*
   (ii) *the DLS curve (the Deligne-Lusztig curve arising from the Suzuki group) $\mathbf{v}(X^{n_0}(X^n + X) - (Y^n + Y))$ with $p = 2$, $q = n$, $n_0 = 2^r$, $r \geq 1$, $n = 2n_0^2$ and $g = n_0(n - 1)$*
   (iii) *the DLR curve (the Deligne-Lusztig curve arising from the Ree group) $\mathbf{v}(Y^{n^2} - (1 + (X^n - X)^{n-1})Y^n + (X^n - X)^{n-1}Y - X^n(X^n - X)^{n+3n_0}$ with $p = 3$, $q = n$, $n_0 = 3^r$, $n = 3n_0^2$ and $g = \frac{3}{2}n_0(n - 1)(n + n_0 + 1)$.*

2.3. **The curves $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$.** In this subsection, we define the principal object of this study, namely the curves $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$, and recall some of their basic properties. For additional details, see [1].

Fix integers $n \geq 2$ and $r \in \{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, \ldots, n - 1\}$, with $\gcd(n, r) = 1$. Consider the polynomial

$$f_r(x) := T_n\left(x^{1+q^r}\right) \mod (x^{q^n} - x), \tag{3}$$

where $T_n(x) = x + x^q + \ldots + x^{q^{n-1}}$, and define the curve

$$\mathcal{X}_{n,r} : T_n(y) = f_r(x). \tag{4}$$

It is easy to check that the polynomial f_r satisfies $f_r(a) \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, and that if $n > 2$, then f can written as

$$f_r(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-r-1} (x^{1+q^r})^{q^i} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (x^{1+q^{n-r}})^{q^i}. \tag{5}$$

Proposition 2.4 ([1], Proposition 3.1)**.** *The following holds:*

1) *The curve $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$ has degree $d = q^{n-1} + q^{r-1}$, genus $g = q^r(q^{n-1} - 1)/2$ and $N = q^{2n-1} + 1$ $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$-rational points.*
2) *In the projective closure of $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$, the point $P_\infty = (0 : 1 : 0)$ is the only singular point.*

3. The Weierstrass semigroup $H(P_\infty)$

In this section, we consider the point $P_\infty = (0 : 1 : 0) \in \mathcal{X}_{n,r}$ and determine its Weierstrass semigroup $H(P_\infty)$.

Let $F_{n,r} = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}(x, y)$ be the function field of the curve $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, and let v_{P_∞} be the discrete valuation at P_∞.

Remark 3.1. 1) *By equation (4), the functions $x, y \in F_{n,r}$ satisfy*

$$y^{q^n} - y = (x^{q^n} - x) f_r'(x) = (x^{q^n} - x)(x^{q^r} + x^{q^{n-r}}). \tag{6}$$

2) *The notation*

$$z_0 := y^{q^{n-r}} - x^{q^{n-r}+1} \in F_{n,r}$$

will be used in what follows.

Lemma 3.2. *For the functions $x, y, z \in F_{n,r}$, where*

$$z := z_0^{q^{2r-n}} - x^{q^r+1} + x^{q^{2r-n}-1} y,$$

we have that

1) $div_\infty(x) = q^{n-1} P_\infty$
2) $div_\infty(y) = (q^{n-1} + q^{r-1}) P_\infty$
3) $div_\infty(z) = (q^{2r-1} + q^{n-r-1}) P_\infty$.

Proof. The proof of assertions 1 and 2 follows similarly to [1, Lemma 3.6.]. To compute $v_{P_\infty}(z)$, we use the function z^{q^n}. Making use of equation (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
z^{q^n} &= z_0^{q^{2r}} - x^{q^{n+r}+q^n} + x^{q^{2r}-q^n}((x^{q^n}-x)(x^{q^r}+x^{q^{n-r}})+y)\\
&= y^{q^{n+r}} - x^{q^{n+r}+q^{2r}} - x^{q^{n+r}+q^n} + x^{q^{2r}-q^n}((x^{q^n}-x)(x^{q^r}+x^{q^{n-r}})+y)\\
&= ((x^{q^n}-x)(x^{q^r}+x^{q^{n-r}})+y)^{q^r} - x^{q^{n+r}+q^{2r}} - x^{q^{n+r}+q^n}\\
&\quad + x^{q^{2r}-q^n}((x^{q^n}-x)(x^{q^r}+x^{q^{n-r}})+y)\\
&= (x^{q^n+q^r} + x^{q^n+q^{n-r}} - x^{q^r+1} - x^{q^{n-r}+1} + y)^{q^r} - x^{q^{n+r}+q^{2r}} - x^{q^{n+r}+q^n}\\
&\quad + x^{q^{2r}-q^n}(x^{q^n+q^r} + x^{q^n+q^{n-r}} - x^{q^r+1} - x^{q^{n-r}+1} + y)\\
&= \cancel{x^{q^{n+r}+q^{2r}}} + \cancel{x^{q^{n+r}+q^n}} - \cancel{x^{q^{2r}+q^r}} - x^{q^n+q^r} + y^{q^r} - \cancel{x^{q^{n+r}+q^{2r}}} - \cancel{x^{q^{n+r}+q^n}}\\
&\quad + \cancel{x^{q^{2r}+q^r}} + x^{q^{2r}+q^{n-r}} - x^{q^{2r}-q^n+q^r+1} - x^{q^{2r}-q^n+q^{n-r}+1} + x^{q^{2r}-q^n}y.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $z^{q^n} = x^{q^{2r}+q^{n-r}} - x^{q^{2r}-q^n+q^r+1} - x^{q^{2r}-q^n+q^{n-r}+1} + x^{q^{2r}-q^n}y - x^{q^n+q^r} + y^{q^r}$. And triangle inequality gives us

$$v_{P_\infty}(z^{q^n}) = v_{P_\infty}(x^{q^{2r}+q^{n-r}}) = -q^{n-1}(q^{2r}+q^{n-r}).$$

Therefore, $div_\infty(z) = (q^{2r-1}+q^{n-r-1})P_\infty$.

□

Remark 3.3. *Note that, if $gdc(n,r)=1$, then there exists positive integers α and β such that $(n-r)\alpha - \beta n = 1$.*

Proposition 3.4. *Let α and β be positive integers such that $(n-r)\alpha - \beta n = 1$, and consider the following functions in $F_{n,r}$:*

$$w := \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} {z_0}^{q^{(n-r)i}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} (x^{q^{n-r}+1} + x^{q^n+q^{n-r}})^{q^{n(\beta-i)+1}} \tag{7}$$

and

$$t := x^{q^{2r-n+1}-q}w + z^q + x^{q^{2r-n+1}-q^{2r-n}-q+1}z. \tag{8}$$

Then $div_\infty(w) = (q^n+q^{n-r})P_\infty$, and $div_\infty(t) = (q^{2r}-q^n+q^r+1)P_\infty$.

Proof. We begin by computing $w^{q^n} - w$. Note that

$$\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{\beta} (x^{q^{n-r}+1} + x^{q^n+q^{n-r}})^{q^{n(\beta-i)+1}}\Big)^{q^n} - \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} (x^{q^{n-r}+1} + x^{q^n+q^{n-r}})^{q^{n(\beta-i)+1}} =$$

$$(x^{q^{n-r}+1} + x^{q^n+q^{n-r}})^{q^{n\beta+1}} - (x^{q^{n-r}+1} + x^{q^n+q^{n-r}})^q.$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1}(z_0^{q^n}-z_0)^{q^{(n-r)i}}=(x^{q^{n-r}+1}-x^{q^n+q^{n-r}})-(x^{q^{n-r}+1}-x^{q^n+q^{n-r}})^{q^{n\beta+1}},$$

it follows that

$$w^{q^n}=w+x^{q^{n-r}+1}-x^{q^n+q^{n-r}}+x^{q^{n-r+1}+q}-x^{q^{n+1}+q^{n-r+1}}. \tag{9}$$

Therefore, by triangle inequality, $v_{P_\infty}(w^{q^n})=v_{P_\infty}(x^{q^{n+1}+q^{n-r+1}})$. Thus $q^n v_{P_\infty}(w)=-(q^{n+1}+q^{n-r+1})q^{n-1}$, and then $div_\infty(w)=(q^n+q^{n-r})P_\infty$. Now to find $div_\infty(t)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{q^n} &= x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}}w^{q^n}+z^{q^{n+1}}+x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{2r}-q^{n+1}+q^n}z_r^{q^n}\\
&= x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}}(w+x^{q^{n-r}+1}-x^{q^n+q^{n-r}}+x^{q^{n-r+1}+q}-x^{q^{n+1}+q^{n-r+1}})\\
&+(x^{q^{2r}+q^{n-r}}-x^{q^{2r}-q^n+q^r+1}-x^{q^{2r}-q^n+q^{n-r}+1}+x^{q^{2r}-q^n}y-x^{q^n+q^r}+y^{q^r})^q\\
&+x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{2r}-q^{n+1}+q^n}(x^{q^{2r}+q^{n-r}}-x^{q^{2r}-q^n+q^r+1}-x^{q^{2r}-q^n+q^{n-r}+1}+x^{q^{2r}-q^n}y\\
&-x^{q^n+q^r}+y^{q^r})\\
&= x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}}w+\cancel{x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}+q^{n-r}+1}}-\cancel{x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}+q^n+q^{n-r}}}+\cancel{x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}+q^{n-r+1}+q}}\\
&\cancel{-x^{q^{2r+1}+q^{n-r+1}}}+\cancel{x^{q^{2r+1}+q^{n-r+1}}}-x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}+q^{r+1}+q}-\cancel{x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}+q^{n-r+1}+q}}\\
&+x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}}y^q-x^{q^{n+1}+q^{r+1}}+y^{q^{r+1}}+\cancel{x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}+q^n+q^{n-r}}}-x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}+q^r+1}\\
&-\cancel{x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}+q^{n-r}+1}}+x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}}y-x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{2r}-q^{n+1}+q^n+q^n+q^r}+x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{2r}-q^{n+1}+q^n}y^{q^r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{q^n} &= x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}}w-x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}+q^{r+1}+q}+x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}}y^q-x^{q^{n+1}+q^{r+1}}+y^{q^{r+1}}\\
&-x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}+q^r+1}+x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}}y-x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{2r}-q^{n+1}+q^n+q^n+q^r}+x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{2r}-q^{n+1}+q^n}y^{q^r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using triangle inequality, we have $v_{P_\infty}(t^{q^n})=v_{P_\infty}(x^{q^{2r+1}-q^{n+1}+q^{r+1}+q})$ from which it follows that $div_\infty(t)=(q^{2r}-q^n+q^r+1)P_\infty$. □

Corollary 3.5. *The curve $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$ has a plane model given by*

$$y^{q^{n-1}}+\cdots+y^q+y=x^{q^{n-r}+1}-x^{q^n+q^{n-r}}. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Consider the polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}(x)[Z]$ given by

$$G(Z)=Z^q-Z-x^{q^{n-r}+1}+x^{q^n+q^{n-r}}-x^{q^{n-r+1}+q}+x^{q^{n+1}+q^{n-r+1}}.$$

It follows from (9) that $G(T_n(w))=0$. Therefore, since $G(Z)$ may be written as $\prod_{\lambda\in\mathbb{F}_q}(Z-(x^{q^{n-r}+1}-x^{q^n+q^{n-r}})-\lambda)$, we have that

$$T_n(w)=x^{q^{n-r}+1}-x^{q^n+q^{n-r}}+\lambda$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Letting $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ be such that $T_n(a) = \lambda$ and considering the function $\tilde{w} = w - a$, we obtain

$$T_n(\tilde{w}) = x^{q^{n-r}+1} - x^{q^n+q^{n-r}}.$$

Since [3, Thorem 2.5] implies that (10) is irreducible, the result follows. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let $H(P_\infty)$ be the Weierstrass semigroup at P_∞. Then*

$$H(P_\infty) = \langle q^{n-1}, q^{n-1} + q^{r-1}, q^n + q^{n-r}, q^{2r-1} + q^{n-r-1}, q^{2r} - q^n + q^r + 1 \rangle.$$

Moreover, $H(P_\infty)$ is a telescopic semigroup and, in particular, symmetric.

Proof. Let

- $a_1 = q^{n-1}$;
- $a_2 = q^{n-1} + q^{r-1}$;
- $a_3 = q^n + q^{n-r}$;
- $a_4 = q^{2r-1} + q^{n-r-1}$;
- $a_5 = q^{2r} - q^n + q^r + 1$; and
- $S = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \rangle$.

By Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.4, it follows that $S \subseteq H(P_\infty)$. To prove the equality, we first show that S is telescopic. Using the notations of Definition 2.1, we have

- $d_1 = q^{n-1}$ and $S_1 = \langle 1 \rangle$;
- $d_2 = q^{r-1}$ and $S_2 = \langle q^{n-r}, q^{n-r} + 1 \rangle$;
- $d_3 = q^{n-r}$ and $S_3 = \langle q^{r-1}, q^{r-1} + q^{2r-n-1}, q^r + 1 \rangle$;
- $d_4 = q^{n-r-1}$ and $S_4 = \langle q^r, q^r + q^{2r-n}, q^{r+1} + q, q^{3r-n} + 1 \rangle$;
- $d_5 = 1$ and $S_5 = S$.

Note that

- $\dfrac{a_2}{d_2} = q^{n-r} + 1 \in S_1$;
- $\dfrac{a_3}{d_3} = q^r + 1 = (q^{2r-n} - 1)q^{n-r} + (q^{n-r} + 1) \in S_2$;
- $\dfrac{a_4}{d_4} = q^{3r-n} + 1 = (q^{2r-n+1} - q)q^{r-1} + (q^r + 1) \in S_3$;
- $\dfrac{a_5}{d_5} = q^{2r} - q^n + q^r + 1 = (q^r - q^{n-r} - q^{2r-n} + 1)q^r + (q^{3r-n} + 1) \in S_4$.

Therefore, S is telescopic and, by Lemma 2.2, symmetric. To complete the proof, we must show that $g(S)$ is equal to $g = q^r(q^{n-1}-1)/2$. Using the formula for the genus of a telescopic semigroup given in Lemma 2.2,

$$g(S_5) = (l_g(S_5)+1)/2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{5}(\frac{d_{i-1}}{d_i}-1)a_i + 1\right),$$

and then $g(S) = q^r(q^{n-1}-1)/2$, which completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.7. *The curves $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$ are Castle curves.*

4. Automorphism group of $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$

Let us consider the q^{2n-1} affine points $P := (\delta, \mu) \in \mathcal{X}_{n,r}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ and all the elements $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \gamma^{q-1} = 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \gamma^{q^2-1} = 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even .} \end{cases}$$

Using that $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$ is given by $T_n(y) = f_r(x)$, where $f_r(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-r-1}(x^{1+q^r})^{q^i} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(x^{1+q^{n-r}})^{q^i}$, one can easily check that the set G of maps on $F_{n,r}$, given by

$$\alpha_{\gamma,P} : (x,y) \longrightarrow (\gamma x + \delta, \gamma^{1+q}y + (\delta^{q^{n-r}} + \delta^{q^r})\gamma x + \mu), \tag{11}$$

is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r})$, whose elements fix P_∞, i.e., $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}_{P_\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r})$. Based on the above definition, note that the following subgroups of G:

$$N = \{\alpha_{\gamma,P} \in G : \gamma = 1\} \text{ and } H = \{\alpha_{\gamma,P} \in G : P = (0,0)\} \cong \mathbb{F}^*_{q^{2-(n \mod 2)}}$$

have order q^{2n-1} and $q^{2-(n \mod 2)} - 1$, repectively.

The aim of this section is to prove the following:

Theorem 4.1. *The group G is the full group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$. Moreover, $N = \mathrm{Aut}_{P_\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r})^{(1)}$ and*

$$G = \mathrm{Aut}_{P_\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r}) = N \rtimes H.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 will follow after some partial results. We begin with the following:

Lemma 4.2. $\mathrm{Aut}_{P_\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r}) = G$.

Proof. As mentioned before, the inclusion $G \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}_{P_\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r})$ can be easily verified.

Now let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}_{P_\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r})$ be an automorphism. Note that Lemma 3.2 implies that $\{1, x\}$ and $\{1, x, y\}$ are bases for the Riemann-Roch spaces $\mathcal{L}(q^{n-1}P_\infty)$ and $\mathcal{L}((q^{n-1} + q^{r-1})P_\infty)$, respectively. Therefore, $\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{L}(q^{n-1}P_\infty)$ and $\alpha(y) \in \mathcal{L}((q^{n-1} + q^{r-1})P_\infty)$, and

$$\alpha(x) = cx + d \text{ and } \alpha(y) = ay + b_1x + b_0$$

for some $a, b_0, b_1, c, d \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q^n}$ with $ac \neq 0$. Since α is an automorphism, we must have

$$F(cx + d, ay + b_1x + b_0) = \lambda F(x, y)$$

for some $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q^n}$ and $F(x, y) := T_n(y) - f_r(x)$. In particular,

- $T_n(ay) = \lambda T_n(y)$
- $f_r(cx + d) - T_n(b_1x + b_0) = \lambda f_r(x)$.

Note that the first equality gives $a = \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \backslash \{0\}$. Moreover, using that

$$f_r(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-r-1} (x^{1+q^r})^{q^i} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (x^{1+q^{n-r}})^{q^i}$$

and comparing coefficients on the second equality yields $f_r(d) = T_n(b_0)$ and

(i) $c^{q^r+1} = \lambda = c^{q^{n-r}+1}$
(ii) $(d^{q^r} + d^{q^{n-r}})c = b_1$
(iii) $(d + d^{q^{2n-2r}})c^{q^{n-r}} = {b_1}^{q^{n-r}}$.

Since $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \backslash \{0\}$, condition (i) gives $c^{q^{n-r}} = \frac{\lambda}{c}$, and then $c^{q^n} = \frac{\lambda}{c^{q^r}} = c$. That is, $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. Furthemore, since $c^{q^r+1} = c^{q^{n-r}+1}$ implies $c^{q^{2r-n}-1} = 1$, we have that $c^{\gcd(q^n-1, q^{2r-n}-1)} = 1$. However, it follows from $\gcd(n, r) = 1$ that

$$\gcd(q^n - 1, q^{2r-n} - 1) = \begin{cases} q - 1 & \text{if n is odd} \\ q^2 - 1 & \text{if n is even ,} \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

which proves the assertion about c. In particular, (i) gives $a = \lambda = c^{q+1}$.

Using conditions (ii) and (iii), one can easily see that $d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ as well. Therefore $f_r(d) \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and then $f_r(d) = T_n(b_0)$ implies that (d, b_0) is an $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$-rational point on $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$. This finishes the proof.

□

Lemma 4.3. $\mathrm{Aut}_{P_\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r})^{(1)} = N$ *and* $\mathrm{Aut}_{P_\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r}) = N \rtimes H$.

Proof. Since $|N| = q^{2n-1}$ and $|G| = q^{2n-1}(q^{2-(n \mod 2)} - 1)$, it follows from Subsection 2.2 that N must be the unique Sylow p-subgroup of $G = \mathrm{Aut}_{P_\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r})$, i.e., $N = \mathrm{Aut}^{(1)}_{P_\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r})$. Also, from (2), it follows that the cyclic group $H \cong G/N$ is a complement in G, i.e., $G = N \rtimes H$.

□

Proof of Theorem 4.1

In view of the results in Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, it suffices to prove that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r}) = \mathrm{Aut}_{P_\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r})$. In fact, first recall that $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$ has genus $g = \frac{q^r(q^{n-1}-1)}{2}$. Thus, for $n > 2$, the curve $\mathcal{X}_{n,r}$ cannot be birrationally equivalent to any of the curves listed in Theorem 2.3. Therefore, since

$$|\mathrm{Aut}_{P_\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r})^{(1)}| = q^{2n-1} > 2g + 1 = q^r(q^{n-1} - 1) + 1,$$

if follows from Theorem 2.3 that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r}) = \mathrm{Aut}_{P_\infty}(\mathcal{X}_{n,r})$, as desired. □

References

[1] H. Borges and R. Conceição, *Minimal value set polynomials and a generalization of the Hermitian curve*, preprint.

[2] C. Carvalho e F. Torres, *On Goppa codes and Weierstrass gaps at several points*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 35(2) (2005), 211-225.

[3] V. Deolalikar, *Determining irreducibility and ramification groups for an additive extension of the rational function fields*, J. Number Theory 97 (2002), 269–286.

[4] A. Garcia, S. J. Kim, and R. F. Lax, *Consecutive Weierstrass gaps and minimum distance of Goppa codes*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 84 (1993), 199–207.

[5] V.D. Goppa, *Codes associated with divisors*, Problems on Informations Transmissions 13 (1977), 22–27.

[6] V.D. Goppa, *Algebraico-geometric codes*, Math. URSS-Izv., 21 (1) (1983), 75–91.

[7] C. Kirfel and R. Pellikaan, *The minimum distance of codes in an array coming from telescopic semigroups*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 41 (1995), 1720–1732.

[8] M. Giulietti and G. Korchmáros, *Algebraic curves with a large non-tame automorphism group fixing no point*, Transactions on the American Mathematical Society **362**(11) (2010), pp. 5983–6001.

[9] M. Giulietti and G. Korchmáros, *Automorphism group of algebraic curves with p-rank zero*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, **81**(2), (2010), pp. 277–296.

[10] C. Heegard, J. Little and K. Saints, *Systematic encoding via Groebner bases for a class of algebraic-geometric Goppa codes*, IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, vol. 41, (1995), pp. 1752–1761.

[11] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, G. Korchmáros and F. Torres, *Algebraic curves over finite fields*, Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford, 2008.

[12] Carlos Munuera, Alonso Sepúlveda and Fernando Torres, *Algebraic geometry codes from castle curves*, Coding Theory and Applications (Angela Barbero, ed.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 5228, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 117–127.

[13] D. Joyner, *Conjectural permutation decoding of some AG codes*, SIGSAM Bull. 39 (1), (2005), pp. 26–32.

[14] G. L. Matthews, *Weierstrass pairs and minimum distance of Goppa codes*, Designs, Codes and Cryptography, 22, 107-121, 2001.

[15] H. Stichthenoth, On Automorphism of Geometric Goppa Codes, Journal of Algebra, **130**, 1990, pp. 113 – 121.

[16] S. Wesemeyer, On the automorphism group of various Goppa codes, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 44, 1998, pp. 630 – 643.